

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSEPH DAWSON, No. CIV S-03-0967 MCE GGH P

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER,  
Governor of California, ET AL.,

Defendants.

/

STEVEN GOMEZ, No. CIV S-03-0968 MCE GGH P

Plaintiff,

v.

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER,  
Governor of California, ET AL.,

Defendants.

/

///

///

///

///

1 EDWARD HARO, No. CIV S-03-0973 MCE GGH P

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER,  
Governor of California, ET AL.,

5 Defendants.

6 \_\_\_\_\_ /

7 ZACHARIAH GUZMAN, No. CIV S-03-0977 MCE GGH P

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER,  
Governor of California, ET AL.,

11 Defendants.

12 \_\_\_\_\_ /

13 NICOLAS CURRAN, No. CIV S-03-0964 MCE GGH P

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER,  
Governor of California, ET AL.,

17 Defendants.

18 \_\_\_\_\_ /

19 Plaintiffs in the above entitled actions are state prisoners  
20 proceeding pro se who have filed civil rights actions seeking  
21 relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a  
22 United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C.  
23 § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

24 On October 27, 2005, the Magistrate Judge filed Findings and  
25 Recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which  
26 contained notice to all parties that any objections to the  
Findings and Recommendations were to be filed within twenty days.

1 Plaintiff Dawson has filed Objections to the Findings and  
2 Recommendations<sup>1</sup> to which Defendants have filed a reply.

3 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.  
4 § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304, this Court has conducted a  
5 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the  
6 entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to  
7 be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

9 1. The Findings and Recommendations filed October 27, 2005  
10 are adopted in full; and

11 2. Plaintiff Curran's case, Curran v. Schwarzenegger, et  
12 al., No. CIV S 03-0964 MCE GGH P, is dismissed as moot.

13 DATED: December 14, 2005

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
  
MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

24       <sup>1</sup> Plaintiff Dawson is the Plaintiff in the newly designated  
25 lead case; however, Plaintiff Curran is the Plaintiff in the former  
26 lead case and is the only party with standing to object to a  
recommendation of dismissal of his own case. Plaintiff Curran has  
not filed objections.